REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application. Applicants gratefully acknowledge

that claims 4-7, 9 and 10 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-3 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Davlin

(US 6,618,628). Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Davlin discloses a distributed input/output control system. The system includes a main

controller 24 coupled to a plurality of I/O modules 26 via bus 28. Modules 26 are also referred

to as slave controllers A and B. The main controller 24 communicates with slave controllers A

and B using a suitable addressing convention. Davlin discloses that addresses may be

"hardwired" in each module by "dip" or other switches, or each module may have a non-volatile

memory or other storage that includes an address or identifier. (Col. 3, line 62 to col. 4, line 9.)

The main controller "learns" addresses of the modules by interrogating the I/O modules. When

the main controller operates in an interrogation mode, each I/O module provides its address or

identifier in response to the interrogation.

Applicants respectfully submit that Davlin does not disclose:

said parent device has means for successively generating identification codes for the electronic device units, and the electronic device units have means for automatically setting the successively generated identification

codes as own identification codes respectively in the order of series

connection beginning from said parent device

as recited in claim 1.

Page 2

Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Davlin discloses a main controller 24 and a plurality of I/O modules 26 coupled to the

main controller 24. However, Davlin discloses that addresses or identifiers of the modules are

either hardwired in the modules or are included in a non-volatile memory of the module. (Col. 3,

lines 62-64.) Davlin also discloses that the main controller gets addresses directly from the

modules by "interrogating" the I/O modules. (Col. 3, lines 64-67.) Davlin does not disclose that

main controller 24 has a means for generating identification codes for modules 26 since the main

controller 24 gets the addresses from the modules 26.

Furthermore, even assuming main controller 24 could generate identification codes for

modules 26, as alleged by the Office Action, the modules do not have a means for automatically

setting their identification codes since the identifiers are either hardwired or are manually set in

the non-volatile memory of the modules 26.

The Office Action cites col. 2, line 33 to col. 3, line 9 and col. 4, lines 43-65 for

disclosing this feature. (Office Action, page 3.) However, the passage starting at col. 2 merely

describes, in general terms, a distributed redundant control system and methods of using the

system, and that the modules are standard I/O modules. The passage at col. 4 merely describes

features of the main controller 24, such as a display and a keypad for programming the main

controller and the passage also describes communication between the main controller 24 and the

host computer 20, which takes place via a bus 22. Neither of these passages provides a

description of a system having a means for successively generating identification codes and a

means for the modules to set their identification code based on the generated identification code.

Page 3

Application No. 10/532,228

Attorney Docket No.: 052502

Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

For at least the foregoing reasons, claim 1 is patentable over the cited reference, and

claims 2, 3 and 8 are patentable by virtue of their dependence from claim 1. Accordingly,

withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-3 and 8 is hereby solicited.

In view of the above remarks, Applicants submit that that the claims are in condition for

allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Andrew G. Melick

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 56,868

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

AGM/adb

Page 4